Contract Improvement Process Risk Assessment Subcommittee Notes from October 4, 2006 And Risk Assessment and Categorization

Attendees:

Clark Bolser
Ken Witt
Maggie Van Cleef
Kathy Young
Harry McCabe
Michelle Lee
Kathy Heese
Peter Van Ronk
Rod Wolthoff

Summary of Discussion Points:

- Should all contracts have a risk assessment?
- How do we clarify the meaning of "amendments that do not change the scope of the contract"?
- Move limitation of liability to one of the risk factors, not an automatic high risk
- Employees with expertise need to complete the risk assessment and address the risks identified
- Risk "analysis" for the project involves weighing the probability of the risk and the severity of the risk
- How do we integrate risk "analysis" with the factors listed in risk "assessment"?

Proposed Agenda for Next Meeting – Wednesday, October 11, 1 to 3 pm, Conf Rm 14A, 633 $17^{\rm th}$ Street

- How can we (or should we) combine risk analysis with risk assessment factors
- What should be the risk assessment factors (see next page)
- How do we use the factors to determine high and low risk contracts
- What should executive summary include for high risk contracts

Categorization (no Risk Assessment needed):

- Low Risk:
 - Interagency agreements with standard dispute resolution clause
 - Waived contracts
 - Modification tools
 - Amendments that do not change the scope of the contract. Factors to consider:
 - o Extend ending date by one year or less
 - o Original contract was low risk
 - o Passes Purchasing Review no scope creep
 - o Amendment is 7 years or less after original contract approved
 - o Re-statement of amendments
 - Amendment does not add complexity to original contract or prior amendments
- High Risk:
 - Any change to special provisions
 - Construction financing
 - Contingency contracts defined by CRS 24-17-203
 - Energy Performance contracts 24-30-2000?
 - Lease Purchase
 - Change to Indemnity provision

Risk Assessment

- Completed for ALL contracts other than the categorization types above
- Completed by Program Staff. Agency could override the assessment of Program Staff
- Factors (if yes, then low risk or if low score, then low risk):
 - o Dollar amount below:
 - Agencies with large contracts (\$500,000 to \$1 million)
 - All other agencies (\$100,000)
 - o Contract uses standard State template language (Statewide wizard)
 - o Clearly defined statement of work
 - Prior good experience with contractor contract has been successfully performed in the past with no negative impacts
 - o Complexity
 - Lack of future variables that will impact contact over which agency has no control
 - o Program staff has performed risk analysis on the contract
 - Nature of contract is low risk not involving IT services and software, operation of prisons, purchase of real estate, construction contracts, operating lease, limitation of liability of vendor, inherently dangerous activities, or hazardous materials
 - o Other factors

- Agency would either:
 - Answer each question above with a Yes (low risk) or No (high risk), and then use judgment to categorize the contract
 - if all are Yes, then Low Risk,
 - if all are No then high risk
 - if a few are Yes and these are more important than the No's then Low Risk
 - o Answer each question on a scale of 1 to 5 and then depending on the total score, categorize the contract as high or low risk
- For contracts identified as high risk:
 - Agency would complete an executive summary that outlines the risks and shows how agency addressed those risks
 - o Agency could request AG/SCO involvement up front